arXiv:1602.04417v1 [math.GR] 14 Feb 2016

THE SCALE FUNCTION AND LATTICES

G. A. WILLIS

School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, The University of Newcastle, University Drive, Building V, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia, Tel.: +61-2 4921 5546, Fax: +61-2 4921 6898,

Abstract. It is shown that, given a lattice H in a totally disconnected, locally compact group G, the contraction subgroups in G and the values of the scale function on G are determined by their restrictions to H. Group theoretic properties intrinsic to the lattice, such as being periodic or infinitely divisible, are then seen to imply corresponding properties of G.

1. Introduction

Lattices in locally compact groups have been studied extensively. In the connected case this reduces to the study of lattices in Lie groups; strong results have been obtained, including the Margulis superrigidity theorem for lattices in higher rank semisimple Lie groups and some of its extensions, see [13, 15, 17].

Lattices in specific types of totally disconnected, locally compact (t.d.l.c.) groups have been studied in [2, 7, 12], and that a uniform lattice which happens to be a free group controls the scale on an ambient t.d.l.c. group was seen in [3]. It has also been shown recently that, in contrast with the connected case, compactly generated, simple t.d.l.c. groups need not have lattices, [1, 6]. This note gives further information about how lattices control an ambient t.d.l.c. group G by showing that the contraction subgroups in G and the values of the scale function on G are determined by their restrictions to the lattice.

We begin by recalling a few key facts about lattices and the scale function.

Definition 1.1. *The closed subgroup H of the locally compact group G has* finite co-volume *if G/H supports a finite G-invariant measure. If H has finite co-volume and is discrete with the subspace topology, it is a* lattice *in G.*

E-mail address: George.Willis@newcastle.edu.au.

Date: February 16, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22D05 (Primary) 20E34, 22E40 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. scale function, finite co-volume, lattice, uniscalar, anisotropic.

Supported by ARC Discovery Project DP120100996.

The discrete subgroup H has finite co-volume if G/H is compact, that is, if H is co-compact, see [15, Remark 1.11].

Definition 1.2. *The* scale *on the t.d.l.c. group G is the function $s_G : G \to \mathbb{Z}^+$ defined by*

$$s_G(x) := \min\left\{[xVx^{-1} : xVx^{-1} \cap V] : V \leqslant G,\ V \text{ compact and open}\right\}.$$

A compact open subgroup $V \leq G$ is tidy for x in G *if, setting $V_\pm = \bigcap_{n\geq 0} x^{\pm n} V x^{\mp n}$,*

$$V = V_+V_- \text{ and } V_{++} := \bigcup_{n\geq 0} x^n V_+ x^{-n} \text{ is closed.}$$

Every t.d.l.c. group has a base of identity neighbourhoods consisting of compact open subgroups, by [10], [14, Theorem II.2.3] or [11, Theorem II.7.7]. The value $s_G(x)$ is then well-defined because $[xVx^{-1} : xVx^{-1} \cap V]$ is a positive integer. That subgroups tidy for x always exist is implied by the following.

Theorem 1.3 ([18] Definition p.343 and [19] Theorem 3.1)**.** *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group and x be in G. Then the compact open subgroup $V \leq G$ is tidy for x if and only if*

$$s_G(x) = [xVx^{-1} : xVx^{-1} \cap V].$$

The scale function on G is related to the modular function $\Delta : G \to (\mathbb{Q}^+, \times)$ by the formula $\Delta(x) = s_G(x)/s_G(x^{-1})$. It is well known that the modular function is identically equal to 1 if G contains a lattice, see [15, Remark 1.9], and the motivation for this work is to understand how properties of a lattice influence the scale function and related properties of G. The relevant properties of G are as follows.

Definition 1.4. *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group.*

(1) *G is* uniscalar *if the scale function is identically equal to* 1*.*
(2) *The* contraction subgroup *for $x \in G$ is*

$$\mathsf{con}(x) := \left\{g \in G \mid x^n g x^{-n} \to e \text{ as } n \to \infty\right\}.$$

(3) *G is* anisotropic *if $\mathsf{con}(x) = \{e\}$ for every $x \in G$.*

These features of G are related by the fact that triviality of $\mathsf{con}(x)$ implies that $s_G(x^{-1}) = 1$, see [5, Proposition 3.24]. Hence anisotropic groups are uniscalar, the converse does not hold however. That G should be anisotropic is equivalent to triviality of the *Tits core*, see [8, Proposition 3.1].

The observation that $\mathsf{con}(x^n) = \mathsf{con}(x)$ for every $n \geq 1$ will be useful later.

2. Subgroups with finite co-volume

The proof of the main theorem relies on two results which may be found in [4] and [8] respectively but are restated here. The proofs of these results involve an iterative argument that uses the factoring $V = V_+V_-$ of tidy subgroups and the easily verified containments $xV_+x^{-1} \geq V_+$ and $xV_-x^{-1} \leq V$.

Lemma 2.1 ([4], Lemma 2.4)**.** *Suppose that V is a compact, open subgroup of G that is tidy for $x \in G$ and let $n \geq 1$. Then $(VxV)^n = V_- x^n V_+$ and $s_G(y) = s_G(x)^n$ for every $y \in (VxV)^n$.* □

The following result is established in [8] for all y in xV. The proof that it holds for all y in VxV, as claimed here, is the same except that at one point a certain product that is observed to belong to V has an extra factor of v_1, where $y = v_1 x v_2$.

Lemma 2.2 (*c.f.* [8], Lemma 4.1)**.** *Suppose that V is a compact, open subgroup of G that is tidy for x. Then for every $y \in VxV$ there is $t \in V_+ \cap \mathsf{con}(x^{-1})$ such that $t^{-1} y^k t x^{-k} \in V$ for every $k \geq 0$.* □

Remark 2.3. *It may happen in Lemma 2.2 that $\mathsf{con}(x^{-1})$ is trivial, in which case necessarily $t = e$. However any subgroup tidy above for x then satisfies $xVx^{-1} \leq V$ and it follows that $y^k x^{-k} \in V$.*

Lemma 2.2 implies the following, by the same argument as given in [8].

Proposition 2.4 (*c.f.* [8], Corollary 4.2)**.** *Suppose that V is a compact, open subgroup of G that is tidy for x. Then for every $y \in VxV$ there is $t \in V_+ \cap \mathsf{con}(x^{-1})$ such that $t\mathsf{con}(x)t^{-1} = \mathsf{con}(y)$.* □

We are now ready to prove the main result of this note.

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact group and H be a closed subgroup of G having finite co-volume. Then, for every $x \in G$ there are $n \geq 1$ and $h \in H$ such that*

$$s_G(x)^n = s_G(h).$$

Moreover, $\mathsf{con}(h)$ is conjugate to $\mathsf{con}(x)$.

Proof. Let V be a compact, open subgroup of G that is tidy for x and let μ be a finite G-invariant measure on G/H. Then $\pi_H(V)$ is an open subset of G/H and hence $\mu(\pi_H(V)) > 0$. For each $n \geq 1$ we have that

$$\mu(\pi_H(x^n V)) = \mu(x^n.\pi_H(V)) = \mu(\pi_H(V))$$

because μ is G-invariant. Since μ is finite, finite additivity of μ implies that there is $n > 0$ such that

$$\pi_H(x^n V) \cap \pi_H(V) \neq \emptyset.$$

The definition of π_H then implies that there is $h \in H$ with $x^n V \cap Vh \neq \emptyset$. Therefore h belongs to Vx^nV. Hence Lemma 2.1 implies that $s_G(x)^n = s_G(h)$, and Proposition 2.4 implies that $\mathsf{con}(h)$ is conjugate to $\mathsf{con}(x^n)$ which, as remarked in the introduction, is equal to $\mathsf{con}(x)$. □

It is not true in general that $s_G(H) = s_G(G)$. For example, the group $G = \mathbb{Q}_p \times_p \mathbb{Z}$ has the co-compact subgroup $H = \mathbb{Q}_p \times_p n\mathbb{Z}$ and

$$s_G(G) = \left\{p^k \mid k \geq 0\right\} \text{ whereas } s_G(H) = \left\{p^{nk} \mid k \geq 0\right\}.$$

Several conclusions may be drawn immediately from the theorem.

Corollary 2.6. *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group and H be a closed subgroup having finite co-volume.*

(1) *If $s_G(h) = 1$ for every $h \in H$, then G is uniscalar.*
(2) *If $\mathsf{con}(h)$ is closed for every $h \in H$, then $\mathsf{con}(x)$ is closed for every $\in G$.*
(3) *If every element of H has trivial contraction group, then G is anisotropic.*

Here are two situations in which Corollary 2.6 applies to extend properties of a lattice to properties of its ambient group.

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose that the t.d.l.c. group G has a lattice H with $\langle h \rangle$ finite for every $h \in H$. Then G is anisotropic and $\langle x \rangle$ is pre-compact for every $x \in G$.*

Proof. Every element of G with finite order has trivial contraction group. Hence H is anisotropic and it follows by Corollary 2.6 that G is anisotropic.

Let x be in G and let $V \leq G$ be tidy for x. Then V is normalised by x and, by the argument in the proof of Theorem 2.5, there is $n \geq 1$ such that $x^n V \cap H \neq \emptyset$. Choose $h \in x^n V \cap H$. Then $x^n \in \langle h, V \rangle$, which is compact because h has finite order and normalises V. Therefore $\langle x \rangle$ has compact closure. □

Proposition 2.7 asserts that every element of G normalises a compact open subgroup. It does not claim that G has a compact open normal subgroup and that is not true in general, for the group

$$G = \left\{ x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathrm{Sym}(3)^{\mathbb{N}} \mid x_n \in \{e, (1\,2)\} \text{ for almost all } n \right\}$$

contains the co-compact lattice

$$H = \{ x \in G \mid x_n \in \{e, (1\,2\,3), (1\,3\,2)\} \text{ for all } n \}$$

but has no compact open normal subgroup. This group G is not compactly generated however, leaving the following question open.

Question 1. *Suppose that G is a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group with a lattice that is a periodic group. Must G have a compact open normal subgroup?*

In the next proposition, *infinite divisibility* of the element x in G means that there are increasing sequences, n_k, of positive integers and, x_k, of elements of G such that $x = x_k^{n_k}$ for every k.

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose that G has a lattice H in which every element is infinitely divisible. Then G is uniscalar but need not be anisotropic.*

Proof. Since $s_G(x^n) = s_G(x)^n$ for every $n \geq 0$, infinite divisibility of x implies that $s_G(x) = 1$. Hence H is uniscalar and it follows by Corollary 2.6 that G is uniscalar.

The group $G = C_2^{\mathbb{Q}} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}$, where C_2 is the group of order 2 and $\mathbb{Q}$ acts on $C_2^{\mathbb{Q}}$ by translation, has the infinitely divisible lattice $\mathbb{Q}$ but $\overline{\mathsf{con}(x)} = C_2^{\mathbb{Q}}$ for every $x \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Q}$. Hence G is not anisotropic, thus justifying the last claim. □

The paper concludes with another question about how properties of a group might depend on a lattice. It is shown in [3] that, if the t.d.l.c. group G has

a uniform lattice isomorphic to the free group of rank k, then the set of prime divisors of $s_G(G)$ is bounded by a number that depends on k. Note, too, that, by [9, Theorem 1], that if G is a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group, there is a finite set $\eta = \eta(G)$ of prime numbers such that the open pro-η subgroups of G form a base of identity neighbourhoods.

Question 2. *Suppose that G is a t.d.l.c. group with a lattice having k generators. Is there a bound on $s_G(G)$ and on the local prime content that depends only on k?*

References

[1] U. Bader, P.-E. Caprace, T. Gelander and S. Mozes, Simple groups without lattices, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **44**, 1-13 (2012).

[2] H. Bass and A. Lubotzky (with appendices by H. Bass, L. Carbone, A. Lubotzky, G. Rosenberg and J. Tits), *Tree Lattices*, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, (2001) Boston.

[3] U. Baumgartner, Scales for co-compact embeddings of virtually free groups, *Geom. Dedicata*, **130** (2007), 163–175.

[4] U. Baumgartner, J. Ramagge, and G. A. Willis, Scale-multiplicative semigroups and geometry: automorphism groups of trees, *preprint* 2013, `arXiv:1312.1064`.

[5] U. Baumgartner and G. A. Willis, Contraction groups and scales of automorphisms of totally disconnected locally compact groups. *Israel J. Math.*, **142**, 221–248 (2004).

[6] A. Le Boudec, Groups acting on trees with almost prescribed actions, *preprint* (2015), `arXiv:1505.01363v1`.

[7] M. Burger and S. Mozes, Lattices in product of trees, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, **92** (2000), 151–194 (2001).

[8] P.-E. Caprace, C. D. Reid and G. A. Willis, Limits of contraction groups and the Tits core, *J. Lie Theory*, **24**, 957–967 (2014).

[9] P.-E. Caprace, C. D. Reid and G. A. Willis, Locally normal subgroups of simple locally compact groups, *Comptes Rendus*, Mathématique **351**, 657–661 (2013).

[10] van Dantzig, Zur topologischen Algebra, *Math. Ann.*, **107**, 587–626 (1933).

[11] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I*, Grund. Math. Wiss. Bd 115, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Göttingen–Heidelberg 1963.

[12] A. Lubotzky. Lattices in rank one Lie groups over local fields, *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 1:405–431, 1991.

[13] G. A. Margulis, *Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, Ergeb. der Math. 3. Folge Bd 17, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1991.

[14] D. Montgomery and L. Zippin, *Topological Transformation Groups*, Interscience Publishers, New York–London 1955.

[15] M. S. Raghunathan, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Ergeb. der Math. Bd 68, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.

[16] H. Reiter and J. Stegemann, *Harmonic analysis on locally compact groups* (2nd ed.), Lond. Math. Soc Monographs New Series 22, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.

[17] Y. Shalom, Rigidity of commensurators and irreducible lattices, *Inventiones Math.*, **141**, 1-54 (2000).

[18] G. A. Willis, The structure of totally disconnected, locally compact groups, *Math. Ann.* **300**, no. 2, 341–363 (1994).

[19] G. A. Willis, Further properties of the scale function on a totally disconnected group, *J. Algebra*, **237**, no. 1, 142–164 (2001).